



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:)
)
Evans, David A.)
)
Serial No.: 09/544,121)
)
Filed: April 6, 2000)
)
For: A Method and Apparatus)
for Information Mining)
and Filtering)

Group Art Unit: 2172

Examiner: Ly, Anh

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING


Sir:

I hereby certify that the following attached paper

- Amendment & Response To Office Action
- Return Postcard

is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail under 37 CFR 1.8 on September 25, 2003 addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Russell O. Paige



Jones Day
51 Louisiana Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20001-2113

RECEIVED
OCT 02 2003
Technology Center 2100



#10/B
WM
10/14/03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:)
)
Evans, David A.)
)
Serial No.: 09/544,121)
)
Filed: April 6, 2000)
)
For: A Method and Apparatus)
for Information Mining)
and Filtering)

Group Art Unit: 2172

Examiner: Ly, Anh

RECEIVED

OCT 02 2003

Technology Center 2100

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

AMENDMENT & RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

I. Introductory Comments

This is a response to the Office Action, Paper No. 9, dated June 25, 2003.

Claims 1, 3-22 and 25-33 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 9 and 22 are independent claims. The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 9, and 16 - 22 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,418,428 issued to Bosch (hereinafter "*Bosch*") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,208,985 issued to Krehel (hereinafter "*Krehel*"). The Examiner further rejected claims 3 - 5 and 10 - 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Bosch* in view of *Krehel* and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,353,825 to Ponte hereinafter "*Ponte*"). The Examiner further rejected claims 6 - 8 and 13 - 15 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Bosch* in view of *Krehel* and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,266,053 issued to French (hereinafter "*French*"). Finally, the Examiner rejected claims 25 - 33 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Bosch* in view of *Krehel* and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,029,171 issued to Smiga (hereinafter "*Smiga*").